Three-Particle Regge Pole in  $\phi^3$  Theory

BARRY M. McCOY<sup>†</sup>
Institute for Theoretical Physics, SUNY, Stony Brook, New York 11794

and

TAI TSUN WU <sup>‡</sup>
Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510

## ABSTRACT

We prove that the location  $-2 + \alpha_3(\overrightarrow{\Delta})$  of the three-particle Regge pole in  $\phi^3$  theory obeys

$$\alpha_3(0) > 2.2292714924 \alpha(0)$$

where  $-2 + \alpha(0)$  is the position of the Reggeon-particle cut.

<sup>\*</sup>Work supported in part by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission under Contract No. AT(11-1)-3227.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Alfred P. Sloan Fellow

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Permanent Address: Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass. 02138.

-2-

In the previous paper  $^{1}$  on the high energy behavior of  $\phi^{3}$  theory we demonstrated that in addition to the usual Mandelstam diagrams which lead to the "Reggeon-particle" cut located at (we take m = 1)

$$-2 + \alpha(0) = -2 + \frac{g^2}{16\pi^3} \pi \tag{1}$$

there is a much larger class of diagrams which are equally important. We also showed that when all these diagrams are included the full amplitude has a three-particle Regge pole at  $-2 + \alpha_3(\stackrel{\rightarrow}{\triangle})$  where

$$\alpha_{3}(\overrightarrow{\Delta}) = \sup_{\mathbf{h}} \left\{ \int d^{2}\overrightarrow{\mathbf{k}} \ \mathbf{h}^{2}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{k}}) \alpha(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{k}}) + 2\left(\frac{g^{2}}{16\pi^{3}}\right) \int d^{2}\overrightarrow{\mathbf{k}} \ d^{2}\overrightarrow{\mathbf{k}} \ \mathbf{h}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{k}}) \mathbf{h}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{k}}') (\overrightarrow{\mathbf{k}}^{2} + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\overrightarrow{\mathbf{k}}^{2} + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} [(\overrightarrow{\Delta} - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{k}} - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{k}}')^{2} + 1]^{-1} \right]$$

$$\left[ d^{2}\overrightarrow{\mathbf{k}} \ \mathbf{h}^{2}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{k}}) \right]^{-1}$$

$$(2)$$

with

$$\alpha(\vec{k}) = \frac{g^2}{16\pi^3} \int d^2 \vec{k}' \frac{1}{\vec{k}^2 + 1} \frac{1}{(\vec{k}' - \vec{k}')^2 + 1} . \tag{3}$$

The purpose of this note is to show that

$$\alpha_3(0) > 2.2292714924 \alpha(0)$$
 . (4)

To prove (4) we choose in (2)

$$h(\vec{k}) = (\vec{k}^2 + 1)^{-3/2}$$
 (5)

Then, for convenience, define J to be the right-hand side of (2) evaluated with (5) divided by  $2\alpha(0)$ . Explicitly, since

$$\int d^2 \vec{k} \ h^2(\vec{k}) = \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{6}$$

we have

$$J = \pi^{-2} \left\{ \int d^{2}\vec{k} \ d^{2}\vec{k} \right\} \frac{1}{(\vec{k}^{2} + 1)^{3}} \frac{1}{\vec{k}^{2} + 1} \frac{1}{(\vec{k} - \vec{k})^{2} + 1}$$

$$+2\int d^{2}\vec{k} d^{2}\vec{k}' \frac{1}{(\vec{k}^{2}+1)^{2}} \frac{1}{(\vec{k}^{2}+1)^{2}} \frac{1}{(\vec{k}-\vec{k}')^{2}+1} \bigg\}.$$
 (7)

Define the two-dimensional Fourier transform by

$$\vec{F}(\vec{\zeta}) = (2\pi)^{1} \int d^{2}\vec{k} \ e^{i\vec{\zeta} \cdot \vec{k}} F(\vec{k}) . \qquad (8)$$

Then, using

$$\int d^{2}\vec{k} \ A(\vec{k})B(\vec{k}) = \int d^{2}\vec{\zeta} \ \vec{A}(\zeta)\vec{B}(\zeta)$$
(9)

and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2\vec{k} \ d^2\vec{k}' \ A(k')B(k-k')e^{i\vec{\zeta} \cdot \vec{k}} = 2\pi \vec{A}(\vec{\zeta})\vec{B}(\vec{\zeta})$$
 (10)

and defining

$$\vec{F}_{j}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{2}\vec{k} \frac{e^{i\vec{\zeta} \cdot \vec{k}}}{(\vec{k}^{2} + 1)^{j}}$$
(11)

we have

$$J = 4 \int_0^{\infty} d\zeta \zeta \left[ \bar{F}_3(\zeta) \bar{F}_1(\zeta)^2 + 2 \bar{F}_2(\zeta) \bar{F}_1(\zeta) \right] . \qquad (12)$$

Now

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2\vec{k} \frac{e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{\zeta}}}{\vec{k}^2 + a^2} = K_0(a\zeta)$$
 (13)

when  $K_0(\zeta)$  is the modified Bessel function of the second kind. Thus we find by repeated differentiation that

$$\mathbf{F}_{1}(\zeta) = \mathbf{K}_{0}(\zeta) \tag{14}$$

$$F_2(\zeta) = -\frac{\zeta}{2} K_0(\zeta) \tag{15}$$

and

$$F_3(\zeta) = \frac{\zeta^3}{8} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \zeta^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} K_0(\zeta) . \qquad (16)$$

Using the differential equation  $^2$  for  $K_0$ 

$$K_0'' + \zeta^{-1}K_0' - K_0 = 0$$
 (17)

we rewrite (16) as

$$F_3(\zeta) = \frac{1}{8} \zeta^2 \left[ -2 \zeta^{-1} K_0 + K_0 \right] . \tag{18}$$

Substituting (14), (15) and (18) into (12) yields

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\zeta \zeta^{3} \left\{ (-2\zeta^{-1}K_{0}^{2} + K_{0})K_{0}^{2} + 2K_{0}^{2}(K_{0}^{2})^{2} \right\} . \quad (19)$$

Integrate the last term by parts to obtain

$$J = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} d\zeta \, \zeta^2 K_0^2 \, (6K_0' + K_0') \quad . \tag{20}$$

Then, if we integrate the first term by parts and define

$$I_1 = \int_0^\infty d\zeta \, \zeta K_0(\zeta)^3 \qquad (21)$$

and

$$I_2 = \int_0^\infty d\zeta \, \zeta^3 K_0(\zeta)^3$$
 (22)

we find

$$J = 2I_{1} - \frac{1}{2}I_{2} . {23}$$

To proceed further we use Nicholson's formula<sup>3</sup>

$$K_0(\zeta)^2 = 2 \int_0^\infty dt \ K_0(2\zeta \cosh t)$$
 (24)

and the integral4

$$2^{\rho+2} \Gamma(1-\rho) \int_{0}^{\infty} dt K_{0}(\alpha t) K_{0}(t) t^{-\rho}$$

$$= \alpha^{\rho-1} F(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \rho, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \rho; 1 - \rho; 1 - \alpha^{-2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \rho)^{4}, \qquad (25)$$

where F(a, b;c;z) is the hypergeometric function. We find

-6-

$$I_{1} = \int_{0}^{\infty} dt (2 \cosh t)^{-2} F[1, 1; 2; 1 - (2 \cosh t)^{-2}]$$
 (26)

and

$$I_2 = \frac{2}{3} \int_0^\infty dt (2 \cosh t)^{-4} F[2, 2; 1 - (2 \cosh t)^{-2}].$$
 (27)

Specifically, <sup>5</sup> we have

$$F(1, 1; 2; z) = -z^{-1} \ln (1-z)$$

and

$$F(2, 2; 4; z) = 6 \left\{ [-2z^{-3} + z^{-2}] \ln(1-z) - 2z^{-2} \right\}.$$
 (28)

Therefore

$$I_1 = 2 \int_0^\infty dt \, \frac{\ln (2 \cosh t)}{(2 \cosh t)^2 - 1} \tag{29}$$

and

$$I_2 = 8 \int_0^\infty dt \left[ (2 \cosh t)^2 - 1 \right]^2 \left[ \frac{(2 \cosh t)^2 + 1}{(2 \cosh t)^2 - 1} \ln (2 \cosh t) - 1 \right]_{(30)}^{\infty}$$

Make the change of variable

$$x = e^{2t} (31)$$

to obtain

$$I_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} dx \frac{\ln(x+1)}{(x+1)^{2} - x}$$
 (32)

and

$$I_2 = 2 \int_0^\infty dx \frac{x}{[(1+x)^2 - x]^2} \left\{ \frac{(x+1)^2 + x}{(x+1)^2 - x} \ln(1+x) - 1 \right\}. \quad (33)$$

Then let

$$x = \frac{1}{y} - 1 \tag{34}$$

and find

$$I_{1} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} dy \frac{\ln y}{y^{2} - y + 1}$$
 (35)

and

$$I_2 = -2 \int_0^1 dy \ y(1-y) \frac{1}{(1-y+y^2)^2} \left[ \frac{1+y-y^2}{1-y+y^2} \ln y + 1 \right]. \quad (36)$$

Next define

$$z = 2y - 1$$
. (37)

Then

$$I_{1} = -\int_{0}^{1} dz \, \frac{\ln \frac{1}{4}(1-z^{\frac{2}{1}})}{3+z^{\frac{2}{1}}}$$
 (38)

and

$$I_{2} = -\frac{4}{3} \int_{0}^{1} dz \left\{ \frac{\ln \frac{1}{4} (1 - z^{2})}{3 + z^{2}} + 2 \frac{3 - z^{2}}{(3 + z^{2})^{2}} \right\} \qquad (39)$$

Therefore we find

$$I_2 = \frac{4}{3}I_1 - \frac{2}{3} \tag{40}$$

and hence

$$J = \frac{4}{3}I_1 + \frac{1}{3} = I_2 + 1. \tag{41}$$

By expanding (35) as

$$I_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dy \frac{1+y}{1+y^3} \ln y = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dy (1+y) \ln y \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n y^{3n}$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n}[(3n+1)^{-2}+(3n+2)^{-2}] \tag{42}$$

and using

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z + n)^{-2} = \psi^{*}(z), \qquad (43)$$

where  $\psi(z)$  is the logarithmic derivative of the gamma function, we have

$$I_{1} = \frac{1}{72} \left\{ \psi'(\frac{1}{6}) + \psi'(\frac{1}{3}) - \psi'(\frac{1}{2}) - \psi'(\frac{5}{6}) \right\}. \tag{44}$$

Numerically we find

$$I_4 = 0.5859768097$$
 (45a)

and

$$I_2 = 0.1146357462$$
 (45b)

Using (45) in (41) and using the definition of J (4) follows.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

-9**-**

We are grateful to Professor O. J. Kleppa and Professor K. W. Schwarz and to Professor B. W. Lee for their hospitality at the James Franck Institute of the University of Chicago and the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory where this research was carried out.

## REFERENCES

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>B. M. McCoy and T. T. Wu, "Mandelstam Diagrams are Not Enough" (to be published).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Bateman Manuscript Project, Higher Transcendental Functions, edited by A. Erdelyi (McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 1953)

Vol. 2, p. 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Ibid., Vol. 2, p. 54, Eq. (39).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Ibid., Vol. 2, p. 93, Eq. (36).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup><u>Ibid.</u>, Vol. 1, p. 102, Eqs. (15), (20), and (24).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup><u>Ibid.</u>, Vol. 1, p. 22, Eq. (22).